MTD Governance Committee

Areas of Consensus:
- Representatives must be elected officials selected by those they represent
  - Not appointed by Governor or Legislature
  - If the boundaries of district do not comprise the entirety of a county, then the commissioner representing that county or elected official representing the city/cities in question must live within the portion of that city or county that is within the district.
- Structure that balances city and county representation
- Governing board of 12-16 members (i.e., not one from each jurisdiction)
- Project list should be predetermined and approved by voters
  - Board should have little latitude with respect to projects

Straw Man Proposal (based on Urban Drainage)
- Two Options Based on City Representation with either 12 or 14 Voting Members
  - 5 Commissioners: 1 from each of the 5 counties: Adams, Arapahoe, Boulder, Douglas, Jefferson
  - 2 Elected Officials from City & County of Denver: 1 councilmember and 1 representative of the Mayor
  - 1 Elected Official from City & County of Broomfield
  - 4-6 Mayors:
    - 1 Mayor of Aurora: As the largest non-consolidated city in the region, Aurora receives 1 permanent seat regardless of option chosen below
- Options for Distributing Remaining Seats:
  - Option A: County Convention (+5 Mayors)
    - Cities in each of 5 (non-consolidated) counties convene to appoint 1 city elected official to represent the cities in their county
    - Cities that are in multiple counties must designate which county they will be represented by in selection process
  - Option B: City Class Convention (+3 or +4 Mayors)
    - Cities are classed by population: Either Large, Medium and Small OR 4 classes (cut-offs TBD)
    - Each class appoints an elected city official to represent them
    - (-) Geographic equity challenge
  - Option C: City Class Automatic (+3 or +4 )
    - Cities are classed by population: Either Large, Medium and Small OR 4 classes (cut-offs TBD)
    - Most populous city in each class is automatically the representative
    - (-) Geographic equity challenge
  - 2 Non-Voting Members
  - RTD
**Proposed Allocation of Revenues:**
- 40% Transit
- 35% Major Regional Projects
- 20% Local Share/Projects
- 5% Bike/pedestrian

**Structure Diagram:**

*Metro Transportation District*